



Shri Jagshir Singh, S/o Late Shri Gian Singh,  
9/20, Mandi Mulanpur,  
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3189 of 2020**

**Present:-** Shri Jagshir Singh, appellant is present.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jagshir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

The appellant stated that he has received information regarding point nos.6 and 7 of the RTI application, but rest of the information is pending.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.AC-3189/20 dated 05.07.2021 along with a copy of letter no.283-5A dated 03.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.AC-3189/20 dated 05.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order dated 18.05.2021, the information regarding point nos.6 and 7 of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant on 04.07.2021.

I have gone through the Order dated 18.05.2021 and the information sought by the appellant was declined except the information related with log book and register no. 19 of concerned Police Station.

As the SPIO has complied with the Order dated 18.05.2021 passed by the Commission and provided the information regarding point nos. 6 and 7 of the RTI application i.e. information related with log book and register no. 19 of concerned Police Station, to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 06.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Shri Charan Dass,  
S/o Shri Jagdish Chand,  
R/o Village Fatehpur,  
P.O. Bazidpur,  
Tehsil & Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Patiala..

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3831 of 2020**

**Present:-** Shri Charan Dass, appellant is absent.  
Shri Harinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary (98144-59617) is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

**O R D E R**

Shri Charan Dass, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the some information was provided by hand to the appellant on 07.06.2021 and rest of the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 02.07.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the appellant, which was received on 15.06.2021, diarized vide no.12962 and it is submitted by him that he has visited the office of the PIO on 07.06.2021 and Shri Harinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary has provided him incomplete information.

The Commission has receive an e-mail sent by the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Patiala, which is a copy of letter no.400 dated 05.07.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 02.07.2021 and a photocopy of postal receipt dated 02.07.2021. I have gone through letter no.400 dated 05.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information has been sent to the appellant through registered post by the concerned Panchayat Secretary.

As per the mail of the appellant that incomplete information has been provided to him on 07.06.2021. But after that the respondent has sent rest of the information to the appellant through registered post on 02.07.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Chaman Lal Suman, (M: 94106-56845),  
Retd. Principal Scientist,  
Village Badshah Pur, Post Khambra,  
Jalandhar-144026.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Near D.C. Office,  
Jalandhar-144001.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/ District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Near D.C. Office,  
Jalandhar-144001.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1356 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Chaman Lal Suman, appellant is present.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat  
Officer, Jalandhar.

**ORDER**

Shri Chaman Lal Suman, appellant filed RTI application dated 22.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.02.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. The Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today to be heard through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas none is present on behalf of the respondent.

The appellant stated that the sought for information has been provided to him by the respondent.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the BDPO, Jalandhar (East), which is a copy of letter no. SPL/13 dated 30.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which point-wise information/reply has been provided by hand to the appellant by the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Badshahpur and the appellant has executed a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information on 30.06.2021.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the SPIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.07.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Deepak Kumar,  
S/o Shri Parmod Krishan,  
Ward No. 5, MOhalla Haveli,  
Bassi Pathana,  
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Secretary,  
School Education, Punjab,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1364 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Deepak Kumar, appellant is present.  
Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Sr.Asstt. is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o DPI (SE),  
Punjab, Chandigarh.

**O R D E R**

Shri Deepak Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.01.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. The Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today to be heard through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the information has already been sent to the applicant vide letter dated 25.02.2021.

The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO, but the said information is not specific and clear.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 10.11.2020, letter dated 25.02.2021 and also gone through the notification dated 11.02.2019 issued by the Govt. of Punjab, Department of Personnel, (Personnel Policies-1 Branch). The information sought by the appellant is covered under the said notification i.e. Rule 2 sub rule (d) which reads that "If an appointment is made in any other manner than the direct appointment, in that case the said period of probation shall be one year".

So, the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and send the sought for information to the appellant. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.07.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Tarun Chadha, S/o Shri Sudesh Kumar Chadha,  
R/o 57, Shiva Enclave,  
Opposite Victoria Enclave,  
Zirakpur.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Principal,  
Govt. Sr. Sec. School,  
Mubarikpur, Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Principal,  
Govt. Sr. Sec. School,  
Mubarikpur, Distt. Mohali.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1367 of 2021**

**Present:-** Advocate Nitin Mattu is present on behalf of Shri Tarun Chadha, appellant.  
Ms. Ruchi Sharma, PIO-cum-Lecturer English O/o Principal, Govt. Sr.  
Sec. School, Mubarikpur, Distt. Mohali is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Tarun Chadha, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Mubarikpur, Distt. Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.01.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. The Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today to be heard through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Advocate Nitin Mattu is present on behalf of Shri Tarun Chadha, appellant and Ms. Ruchi Sharma, PIO-cum-Lecturer English is also present.

The respondent stated that the point-wise information has been given to the appellant vide letter dated 26.06.2021.

The Counsel of the appellant stated that information regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application has not been provided by the PIO.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO on 30.06.2021, diarized vide no.14140, which is a copy of letter dated 26.06.2021 along with copies of information.

As the Counsel for the appellant stated that the information regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application has not been provided by the PIO, so the SPIO is directed to send the attested copies of information regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance report be sent to the Commission. With the observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 06.07.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jasveer Singh Sidhu, Crime Reporter,  
S/o Shri Balkar Singh,  
Village Jogewala, PO Malkana,  
Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, Distt. Bathinda-151301.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1363 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasveer Singh Sidhu, appellant is present.  
Shri Sewa Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o  
District Development and Panchayat Officer, Bathinda.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jasveer Singh Sidhu, appellant filed RTI application dated 28.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Bathinda. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.02.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. The Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today to be heard through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties present today.

The respondent stated that the appellant had sought information regarding the Act related with the construction of residence or the factories on the public utilities land. The respondent further stated that the said Act is available in the market and the appellant can purchase it.

The appellant, Shri Jasveer Singh Sidhu stated that he has purchased the said Act from the Market.

As the appellant has sought information regarding the Act, which is available in the open market and the appellant himself admitted during the course of hearing that he has purchased the said Act. So, there is no reason to pursue the case further. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.07.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**